THE COST AND SHORT-TERM BENEFITS OF LOCAL CONTENT REQUIREMENTS Evidence from the Indian solar auctions

Benedict Probst, Vasilios Anatolitis, Laura Diaz Anadon, Andreas Kontoleon Strommarkttreffen "EE-Auktionen", 11.04.2019, Berlin



Background

What are we analyzing?

- What is the effect of local content requirements (LCR) on the bid prices in the solar PV auctions in India?
- How successful were the LCR in terms of industrial policy goals?
 - (Competitive) PV manufacturing industry
 - Effective achievements of targets
 - Job creation
- Why are we analyzing solar PV auctions in India?
 - Important RE market (target capacity: 175 GW of RE by 2022)
 - Indian auction design allows provides a quasi-experimental setting (counterfactual), since separate LCR and "open category" (OC) auctions took place, often in the same State (and even Solar Park), at the same time
 - LCR increasingly popular instrument, especially in developing countries (e.g. Saudi Arabia, Uganda, Argentina, South Africa)



Background

• How are the LCR in India designed?

- LCR: a certain share of total investment costs or certain parts of the value chain have to be sourced locally, and these requirements can either be strict (e.g. Saudi-Arabia) or impact the score in a multi-criteria auction
- In India, cells and modules had to be locally manufactured
- Raw materials, ingots and wavers could be imported
- Which time period are we analyzing?
 - 2014-2017: the second phase (Phase II) of the National Solar Mission (goal: 100 GW of PV by 2022), during which LCR were still eligible
 - In December 2017, (direct) LCR abolished due to a WTO ruling and deal with the US
 - Phase I had no counterfactual auctions (when polysilicon PV modules were used, LCR had to be followed; not applicable for thin-film technology)



Effects on bid prices and realisation rates

Bid prices

- LCR significantly increase the price of solar power in India
- Average increase between 5.7%-7.1% compared to Open Category
- Gap between categories did not decrease over time
- Experience of bidders leads to the decrease of bid prices

Realisation rates

- LCR can lead to significant delays, such in the case of Brazil, or have a negative impact on the realisation rate of the projects
- In India, we find no difference between realisation rates





Effects on manufacturing capacities

- Manufacturing capacity shows significant increase from 300 MW/a in 2010 (192 MW/a cell manufacturing) to 8400 MW/a in 2017 (3200 MW/a cells)
- Many producers have a capacity of less than 200 MW/a (the minimum capacity for economies of scale)
- 53% of cell and 65% of module manufacturing capacity currently operational





Conclusions

- Increase of bid prices due to LCR regulation by 5.7%-7.1%
- LCR show no difference in realization rates
- But: unsuccessful in implementing a globally competitive PV manufacturing industry
- LCR policy was not part of a more holistic and long-lasting industrial policy effort
- No integrated manufacturing capacities of companies (only cell and module)
- India should provide more direct financial incentives to manufacturers
- India's new approach:
 - Five 2 GW (total 10 GW) 25-year PPAs for solar PV projects are auctioned, but with the obligation to build 600 MW of integrated manufacturing facilities (total 3 GW)



Vasilios Anatolitis

Competence Center Energy Policy and Energy Markets Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI Breslauer Straße 48 | 76139 Karlsruhe | Germany Phone +49 721 6809-281 | Fax +49 721 6809-272 vasilios.anatolitis@isi.fraunhofer.de http://www.isi.fraunhofer.de





Vasilios Anatolitis Fraunhofer ISI vasilios.anatolitis@isi.fraunhofer.de

AURES II

Website:http://aures2project.eu/LinkedIn:AURES IITwitter:@auctions4resNewsletter:http://eepurl.com/gd42zz



AURES has received funds for the years 2018-2021 from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 817629