



Renewable Energy Auctions: Lessons from Germany, the UK, and the Netherlands

Dominik Huebler Principal

Strommarkttreffen Berlin, 4 May 2018

Insight in Economics[™]



Germany: Zero subsidy pioneer 2017 round had the first ever "zero bids" based on likely "real options" view of the auction

First round (2017)

- First of two auction rounds for "existing projects" to be delivered between 2021 and 2025; bids for guaranteed floor prices
- 1,380 out of 1,490 MW at "zero subsidy" (zero floor); Ørsted had 110 MW at 60 EUR/MWh
- Only projects in the North Sea were successful; most with delivery dates towards the end of the period
- Zero floor bids likely factor in significant technological progress and increasing wholesale prices
- Long lead times until realisation and (relatively) low penalties may mean bids are viewed as "real options" → how to ensure delivery?

Second round (2018)

- 1,610 MW awarded to 6 winning projects owned by Iberdrola, innogy, Ørsted, and a consortium of municipal utilities
- Larger range of successful bids (0 to 98.30 EUR/MWh) and higher average price (46.60 EUR/MWh) than in 2017*
- Co-location with prior projects seems to hold more explanatory power than lead time / size in this round
- No bifurcation between Baltic Sea and North Sea projects despite Baltic Sea quota

→ Under current rules for the future "central model" the reference price for future auctions is fixed at zero with only a random draw to break a tie

UK: The last of "ye olde worlde" UK use of fixed price CfD and inclusion of the connection cost in the bid means zero bid equivalent is a bid below the wholesale price

Latest auction outcomes

- In April 2017, UK carried out a crosstechnology auction covering offshore wind as well as biomass and energy from waste
- 3.2 GW of capacity awarded for three offshore wind projects:
 - Triton Knoll (860 MW, innogy): 94
 EUR*/MWh for delivery in 2021/22
 - Hornsea 2 (1,386 MW, Ørsted), Moray Firth (950 MW, EDPR/ENGIE): both 72.30 EUR*/MWh for delivery in 2022/23
- The lowest bid was half the strike price of the previous auction in 2015 but...
- Bids look higher on a headline level than the German bids although significant differences between schemes need to be taken into account (see RHS)

NERA

MIC CONSULTING

Key take-aways

- Key features that differ from rest of Europe:
 - "Two-sided" CfDs (fixed prices not floor prices) rule out zero bids
 - Cost of connection included in price
 - Earlier delivery dates than Germany, rd 1 but similar to rd 2
 - Contracts in the UK are inflation-indexed
 - Under a budget rather than a volume target lower prices allowed all eligible wind projects to "fit in"
- Penalty regime differs too (exclusion from future auctions for up to 24 months instead of financial penalties) but not clearly harsher
- Last country to retain auction format with different sites competing for a budget → bigger role for strategic bidding than elsewhere

The Netherlands: Fully embracing zero subsidy After several auctions for subsidies, the Dutch government explores the option to auction site licences for off-shore wind parks

Past auction outcomes

- Prior to "zero subsidy" NL led the reduction in offshore pricing with
 - Borssele 1&2 (Ørsted, 2020) at 72.70 EUR/MWh floor price; and
 - Borssele 3&4 (Shell-led Consortium, 2020) at 54.50 EUR/MWh floor price
- In 2017, Hollandse Kust Zuid 1&2 (700 MW; to be built by 2022) became the first explicitly "zero bid" auction
 - Vattenfall beat other zero bids from Statoil. innogy and Eneco-led consortium
 - Project was awarded the option to build the wind farm based on non-price criteria:
 - Cost-efficiency of the bid (highest weight)
 - Risk analysis (incl. PPA; biggest differences)
 - Four others

Key take-aways

- Significant interest in zero subsidy auctions ۲ even for 2022 delivery but some specifics to be borne in mind:
 - Cost of connection covered by the government
 - Availability of PPAs in NL
 - Size and co-location of sites
- Where next for auction formats?
 - Non-price criteria lose some of the efficiency; re-consider price-based rule
 - Continuing to auction two licenses adds complexity to format (aggregation risk)
 - Multi-round ascending bid auctions could support price discovery
- Is PPA market well enough developed to make bidders willing to pay for development rights?

What's next for Germany and beyond A selection of key questions going forward

High level policy questions

- Coalition agreement (albeit not the draft EEG revision) envisages additional offshore wind auction → an option to re-include some of the projects shut out by the WindSeeG but need to consider ex post change to value of projects successful in first two rounds
- EEG 2017 limits pricing for "central model" to zero with ties to be broken by random draw → more efficient mechanism required

Longer-term questions

- General auction design (sizing, sealed bid vs. multi-round, pre-developed vs. multi-location, tech-specific vs. tech neutral)
- Trading off probability of delivery and ensuring low prices (lead times, 1-way/2-way CfD, bidding on the size of the penalty?, ...)
- Price-based (with connection included?) or other selection criteria (probability of delivery, regional criteria, sustainability, ...)
- Who offers PPAs (state via two-way CfD vs. market, size of corporate PPA market, political / counterparty risk insurance
- Which players remain active (BigOil vs. pension funds will depend on availability of risk mitigation, benefits of co-location may limit future competition / potential for repeat in new markets)

About Us

	 Your speaker - Dominik Huebler Principal in the Energy, Environment, Communications & Infrastructure (EECI) Practice in Berlin 10 years of experience in consulting for infrastructure companies, investors, law firms and public institutions, e.g.,: Regulatory and market due diligence for off-shore wind projects, cogeneration and regulated networks in Germany and Europe Advice on economic questions regarding the German Renewable Energy Act (EEG) and Combined Heat and Power Act (KWK-G) for different clients Economic consulting in legal, arbitration and regulatory proceedings, e.g., on WACC estimation, the German nuclear moratorium and gas storage contracts Several publications in energy economics, e.g., on §24 Renewable Energy Act (reduction of the support in the case of negative prices), evaluation of incentive regulation, etc.
NERA ECONOMIC CONSULTING	 NERA Economic Consulting International consulting firm with a focus on economic consulting Part of Marsh & McLennan Companies and Oliver Wyman Group 500 economists worldwide, 30 economists in Germany (Berlin & Frankfurt) Main practice areas: Energy economics Competition and regulatory economics Transfer pricing Core business in energy economics: renewable energy, electricity markets, network regulation, due diligence, economic consulting in legal and arbitration proceedings Worldwide team of experts on auction strategies and analyses Cooperation with leading German academics, e.g., Ulrich Schwalbe, Roman Inderst

Want to know more NERA experts have published widely on the topic of renewable energies and auctions







Thank you for your attention!

Dominik Huebler

Principal NERA—Berlin +49 30 700 1506 20 Dominik.Huebler@nera.com

> © Copyright 2018 NERA Economic Consulting GmbH

All rights reserved.

Insight in Economics[™]